

A simple construction of elliptic R -matrices

Giovanni Felder* and Vincent Pasquier**

2 February 1994

*Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill,
Chapel Hill, NC 27514, USA

**Service de Physique Théorique, CEN-Saclay,
91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

Abstract

We show that Belavin's solutions of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation can be obtained by restricting an infinite R -matrix to suitable finite dimensional subspaces. This infinite R -matrix is a modified version of the Shibukawa–Ueno R -matrix acting on functions of two variables. (hep-th/9402011)

Shibukawa and Ueno [1] have defined an elliptic R -operator acting on the space of functions of two variables on the circle, and obeying the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, extending the work of Gaudin [2]. It has a very simple form. To describe it let us introduce the basic function $\sigma_w(z, \tau)$ uniquely characterized by having the following behaviour as a function of z for fixed (generic) $w \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{C}$, $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_w(z+1, \tau) &= \sigma_w(z, \tau), \\ \sigma_w(z+\tau, \tau) &= e^{2\pi i w} \sigma_w(z, \tau),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

and being meromorphic with only simple poles on the lattice $\mathbf{Z} + \tau\mathbf{Z}$, and unit residue at the origin.

In terms of Jacobi's theta function

$$\vartheta_1(z, \tau) = - \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} e^{i\pi n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n(z + \frac{1}{2})},$$

we can express $\sigma_w(z, \tau)$ as

$$\sigma_w(z, \tau) = \frac{\vartheta_1(z - w, \tau) \vartheta_1'(0, \tau)}{\vartheta_1(z, \tau) \vartheta_1(-w, \tau)}, \quad (2)$$

where the prime means derivative with respect to the first argument.

The Shibukawa–Ueno R -operator is then

$$R(\xi)f(z_1, z_2) = \sigma_\mu(z_{12}, \tau)f(z_1, z_2) - \sigma_\xi(z_{12}, \tau)f(z_2, z_1)$$

Here, and below, we make use of the abbreviation $z_{12} = z_1 - z_2$. The operator $R(\xi)$ maps the space of, say, continuous 1-periodic functions of z_1, z_2 to itself for each (generic) value of the spectral parameter $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ and “anisotropy” parameter $\mu \in \mathbf{C}$.

The main property of R , proved in [1], is that it obeys the quantum Yang–Baxter equation (QYBE). If we define R_{ij} , $i \neq j$, to be R acting on a function of n variables by viewing it as a function of the i th and j th variable, then the QYBE is the relation on the space of functions of three variables

$$R_{12}(\xi_{12})R_{13}(\xi_{13})R_{23}(\xi_{23}) = R_{23}(\xi_{23})R_{13}(\xi_{13})R_{12}(\xi_{12}),$$

where, again, $\xi_{ij} = \xi_i - \xi_j$.

Let us now introduce the space of shifted theta functions of degree $k = 1, 2, \dots$: define $V_k(\xi)$ as the space of entire functions f of one complex variable such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z + 1) &= f(z) \\ f(z + \tau) &= \alpha_k(z, \xi)f(z) \\ \alpha_k(z, \xi) &= e^{-2\pi i k z - \pi i k \tau + 2\pi i \xi} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is well-known that $V_k(\xi)$ has dimension k . A basis will be given explicitly below. For $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ we identify $V_k(\xi_1) \otimes V_k(\xi_2)$ with the space of entire functions of variables z_1, z_2 belonging to $V_k(\xi_i)$ as functions of z_i , for any fixed value of the other argument.

Proposition 1 $R(\xi_{12})$ maps $V_k(\xi_1) \otimes V_k(\xi_2 + \mu)$ to $V_k(\xi_1 + \mu) \otimes V_k(\xi_2)$.

Proof: It is sufficient to show that if $f \in V_k(\xi_1) \otimes V_k(\xi_2 + \mu)$, then $R(\xi_{12})f$ is entire in both variables and has the required properties under lattice translations.

It is first easy to see, using the behaviour of $\sigma_w(z, \tau)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$, that the apparent singularity of $R(\xi_{12})f$ at $z_1 = z_2$ is removable.

Moreover it follows from (1) that $R(\xi_{12})f$ is 1-periodic in both variables and that it has the required transformation properties under translation by τ of both variables. From this we deduce in particular that $R(\xi_{12})f$ is also regular at $z_1 = z_2 + n + m\tau$, for all integers n and m and is thus entire. $\square$

The translation operator $T_k(\xi)f(z) = f(z - \frac{\xi}{k})$ maps isomorphically $V_k = V_k(0)$ onto $V_k(\xi)$. Let us define a modified R -operator as

$$R_k(\xi_{12}) = T_k(\xi_1 + \mu)^{-1} \otimes T_k(\xi_2)^{-1} R(\xi_{12}) T_k(\xi_1) \otimes T_k(\xi_2 + \mu)$$

It is defined for any complex k and for positive integer k it preserves, by construction, $V_k \otimes V_k$. The notation is consistent, since the right hand side is indeed a function of the difference ξ_{12} , as a consequence of the elementary properties:

Lemma 2 (i) $T_k(\xi + \eta) = T_k(\xi)T_k(\eta)$
(ii) $R(\xi)$ commutes with $T_k(\eta) \otimes T_k(\eta)$ for any η .

More explicitly, R_k is the operator

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(\xi)f(z_1, z_2) &= \sigma_\mu(z_{12} + \frac{\mu + \xi}{k}, \tau)f(z_1 + \frac{\mu}{k}, z_2 - \frac{\mu}{k}) \\ &- \sigma_\xi(z_{12} + \frac{\mu + \xi}{k}, \tau)f(z_2 - \frac{\xi}{k}, z_1 + \frac{\xi}{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 R_k preserves $V_k \otimes V_k$ and obeys the quantum Yang–Baxter equation.

This theorem is proved by reducing the QYBE for R_k to the QYBE for R , using the rules of Lemma 2,

We thus get for any positive integer k a quantum R -matrix in $\text{End}(V_k \otimes V_k)$. We now identify this matrix, by computing its matrix elements. The main technical tool here is the action of the Heisenberg group H_k on theta functions. The group H_k is generated by A , B and a central element ε subject to the relations

$$A^k = B^k = 1, \quad AB = \varepsilon BA$$

It is well-known that theta functions of degree k provide an irreducible representation of H_k with $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/k)$. The action of generators on V_k is

$$\begin{aligned} Af(z) &= f\left(z + \frac{1}{k}\right) \\ Bf(z) &= e^{2\pi iz + \pi i\tau/k} f\left(z + \frac{\tau}{k}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Diagonalizing the action of B leads us to introduce the functions

$$\theta_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau/k + 2\pi i n(z - \alpha/k)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_k.$$

These functions build a basis of V_k and obey

$$A\theta_\alpha = \theta_{\alpha-1}, \quad B\theta_\alpha = e^{2\pi i\alpha/k} \theta_\alpha$$

Theorem 4 *Define matrix elements of R_k in the basis $\{\theta_\alpha\}$ of V_k by the formula*

$$R_k(\xi)\theta_\alpha \otimes \theta_\beta = \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{Z}_k} R_k(\xi)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} \theta_\gamma \otimes \theta_\delta$$

Then $R_k(\xi)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta}$ vanishes unless $\alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta$, and if $\alpha + \beta = \gamma + \delta$,

$$R_k(\xi)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = \frac{\vartheta_1\left(\frac{\mu - \xi - \alpha + \beta}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}\right) \vartheta_1'(0, \frac{\tau}{k})}{k \vartheta_1\left(\frac{\mu - \alpha + \gamma}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}\right) \vartheta_1\left(\frac{\xi - \beta + \gamma}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}\right)}$$

Thus the restriction of R_k to $V_k \otimes V_k$ is proportional to Belavin's solution [3], [4], [5] (see [6] where the matrix elements are computed). For $k = 2$ it reduces to Baxter's R -matrix of the eight-vertex model. This results extends similar results obtained by Shibukawa and Ueno [1] in the rational and trigonometric case.

To prove this theorem, notice first that $R_k(\xi)$ commutes with $B \otimes B$ (and, in fact, also with $A \otimes A$). Thus $R_k(\xi)\theta_\alpha \otimes \theta_\beta$ is a linear combination of $\theta_\gamma \otimes \theta_\delta$ with $\gamma + \delta = \alpha + \beta$ (and its matrix elements depend only on the differences of the indices). Next, we need to study the behaviour of $R_k(\xi)\theta_\alpha \otimes \theta_\beta$ under the action of $B \otimes \text{Id}$. We use for this the following decomposition of the function $\sigma_w(z, \tau)$ into eigenvectors for the translation by τ/k .

Lemma 5

$$\sigma_w(z, \tau) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \sigma_{(w+\gamma)/k}(z, \tau/k).$$

Proof: Both sides of this equation have multipliers 1 and $\exp 2\pi i w$ as z goes to $z+1$ and $z+\tau$. Let us compare the poles. The right hand side has possible poles on the lattice $\mathbf{Z} + k^{-1}\tau\mathbf{Z}$. The residue at the pole $n+m\tau$ of the function (of z) $\sigma_{(w+\gamma)/k}(z, \tau/k)$ is $\exp(2\pi i(w+\gamma)m/k)$ (see (1)). By summing over γ , we see that the residue vanishes at $n+m\tau/k$ unless m is a multiple of k , and is one at the origin. It follows that the difference between the two sides of the equation is an entire function of z with multipliers 1 and $\exp 2\pi i w$ and must thus vanish for generic w , and thus for all w by analyticity. $\square$

We can now rewrite $R_k(\xi)\theta_\alpha \otimes \theta_\beta(z_1, z_2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{Z}_k} \{ & \sigma_{\frac{\mu+\gamma-\alpha}{k}}(z_{12} + \frac{\mu+\xi}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}) \theta_\alpha(z_1 + \frac{\mu}{k}) \theta_\beta(z_2 - \frac{\mu}{k}) \\ & - \sigma_{\frac{\xi+\gamma-\beta}{k}}(z_{12} + \frac{\mu+\xi}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}) \theta_\alpha(z_2 - \frac{\xi}{k}) \theta_\beta(z_1 + \frac{\xi}{k}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Each summand S_γ in this sum is an eigenvector of $B \otimes \text{Id}$, the eigenvalue being $\exp 2\pi i \gamma/k$. Thus this summand is proportional to $\theta_\gamma \otimes \theta_\delta$ with $\delta = \alpha + \beta - \gamma$. To find the proportionality factor it is sufficient to compute the summand S_γ at any chosen point (at which it does not vanish). If we chose z_1 and z_2 in such a way that $z_1 - z_2 = (-\xi + \gamma - \alpha)/k$, then the first term in S_γ vanishes (since $\sigma_w(w, \tau) = 0$) and we have

$$\theta_\alpha(z_2 - \frac{\xi}{k}) \theta_\beta(z_1 + \frac{\xi}{k}) = \theta_\gamma(z_1) \theta_\delta(z_2)$$

It thus follows immediately that

$$R_k(\xi)_{\alpha, \beta}^{\gamma, \delta} = -\frac{1}{k} \sigma_{\frac{\xi+\gamma-\beta}{k}}(\frac{\gamma-\alpha+\mu}{k}, \frac{\tau}{k}),$$

which, by (2), is what had to be shown.

Acknowledgments. Most of this work was done as the first author was visiting IHES, which he thanks for hospitality.

References

- [1] Y. Shibukawa and K. Ueno, Completely $\mathbf{Z}$ symmetric R -matrix, Waseda University preprint, 1992
- [2] M. Gaudin, Matrices R de dimension infinie, J. Physique 49, 1857–1865 (1988)
- [3] A. A. Belavin, Dynamical symmetry of integrable quantum systems, Nucl. Phys. B180 [FS2], 189-200 (1981)
- [4] I. Cherednik, On the properties of factorized S matrices in elliptic functions, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 36, 320-324 (1982)
- [5] A. Bovier, Factorized S matrices and generalized Baxter models, J. Math. Phys. 24, 631-641 (1983)
- [6] C. A. Tracy, Embedded elliptic curves and the Yang–Baxter equations, Physica 16D, 203–220 (1985); M. P. Richey and C. A. Tracy, Z_n Baxter model: symmetries and the Belavin parametrization, J. Stat. Phys. 42, 311–379 (1986)